

Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Develop. Vol. (<sup>WY</sup>) No. <sup>Y</sup> pp <sup>WOO\_WA+</sup>, V + ) Y

FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE

#### PHYSIOLOGICAL STUDIES ON SAGE PLANT

M.A.H. Abdou\* ; M.Y.A. Abdalla\*\*; A.A.E Helaly\*\* and Shayma . E. Mosaad\*

\*Dept. of Hort., Fac. of Agric., Minia Univ. \*\*Dept. of Veget. & Flori., Fac. Of Agric., Mansoura Univ.

Received <sup>\*</sup>^ May <sup>\*</sup> <sup>\*</sup> <sup>\*</sup> Accepted <sup>^</sup> July <sup>\*</sup> <sup>\*</sup> <sup>\*</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

Results showed that the application of FYM significantly increased plant height, number of branches / plant / cut, herb fresh and dry weights / plant and / fed., essential oil (%), essential oil yield / plant and / fed., leaves content of chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids, as well as, the percentages of N, P and K in the herb for both cuts during the two seasons. The superiority in all previous traits was for the plants grown in the soil fertilized with  $\#\circ m^r$  / fed. of FYM as compared with the control.

It was also clear that all biofertilization treatments significantly increased all investigated parameters compared with untreated plants. The most effective treatment was (phosphorein + active dry yeast).

The interaction between FYM and biofertilization treatments was significant in most cases, for all previous parameters. The best

# interaction treatment was obtained from fertilizing plants with FYM ("° m<sup>°</sup> / fed.) in combination with phos. + ADY. INTRODUCTION

Sage (Salvia officinalis, L.) belongs to family Lamiaceae. It is considered as remedy for coughs and bad colds, it is included in gargles and mouth washes. The fresh leaves are used to whiten the teeth, while the dried leaves are used cosmetically for restore the natural color to hair that is turning grey (Daisley, 1917). It has been used for different disorders including respiratory infections menstrual difficulties and digestive complaints. It is also believed to strengthen the sense and the memory. It is still current in the British pharmacopoeia as a specific for inflammations of the mouth tongue and throat. It is used in some pharmaceutical preparations such as mouth washes, gargles, tooth pastes, employed as a fragrance components in soaps, shampoo, detergents, antiperspirants, colognes and perfumes, especially men's fragrances. The oil and oleoresin are used extensively for flavouring foods (mainly, meat products), soft drinks alcoholic beverages, especially vermouth. It also serves as a source of natural anti – oxidants (Lawless, 1997; Turgut et al., Y...9 and Chrpova *et al.*,  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ .

Many authors studied the effect of organic manure treatments on growth, essential oil and chemical composition of aromatic herb plants as El–Leithy *et al.*  $(\uparrow \cdot \cdot \urcorner)$ ; Shala  $(\uparrow \cdot \cdot \lor)$ ; and Khalil *et al.*  $(\uparrow \cdot \cdot \land)$  on *Salvia officinalis*; Mishra and Negi  $(\uparrow \cdot \cdot \urcorner)$  on *Salvia sclarea*; Sukhmal *et al.*  $(\uparrow \cdot \cdot \urcorner)$  on *Mentha arvensis*; Abdou *et al.*  $(\uparrow \cdot \lor)$  on clove basil and Abdou *et al.*  $(\uparrow \cdot \lor \uparrow)$  on *Menta piperita* who found that organic fertilization treatments significantly increased vegetative growth traits, essential oil parameters, as well as, chemical composition compared with control (unfertilized plants).

Biofertilization has become in the last few decades a positive alternative to chemical fertilizers.

The effect of active dry yeast on improving growth and productivity was shown by El–Hindi and El–Boraie  $(\uparrow \cdot \cdot \circ)$  on marjoram plants; Salman  $(\uparrow \cdot \cdot \uparrow)$  on sweet basil and Ismail  $(\uparrow \cdot \cdot \wedge)$  on black cumin. The positive effects of the other used biofertilizers namely, phosphorein and Minia Azotein were supported by the studies

of Abou El–Ela  $(\uparrow \cdot \cdot \not )$  on sage plants; Helmy  $(\uparrow \cdot \cdot \land)$  on Nigella sativa; Abdou *et al.*  $(\uparrow \cdot \cdot \uparrow)$  on borage plants and Abdou and Ashour  $(\uparrow \cdot \uparrow \uparrow)$  on jojoba plants.

Therefore, the present work aimed to evaluate the response of *Salvia officinalis* plants to FYM and biofertilization treatments.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This experiment was carried out during the two successive seasons of  $7 \cdot 1 \cdot 7 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 7 \cdot 1 \cdot 1$  at the Floriculture Farm, Fac. Agric., Minia Univ. to investigate the effect of organic and biofertilization treatments, as well as, their interaction on the vegetative growth, essential oil yield and some chemical composition of *Salvia officinalis*, L. plants.

#### **)** - Plant material:

Seeds of *Salvia officinalis* were obtained from the Research Center of Medicinal and Aromatic Plant Section, Giza (Egypt) and were sown, in an unheated glasshouse, on  $1^{1}$ <sup>th</sup> December for the two experimental seasons in clay pots of  $1 \cdot$  cm diameter ( $7 \cdot$  seeds / pot) containing a clay : sand as a ratio of 7 : 1 by volume. The seedlings at the stage of 7 - 1 leaves and  $4 - 1 \cdot$  cm. in height were transplanted ( $1 \cdot$ plant / hill) in the experimental field on  $1^{1}$ <sup>th</sup> March in both seasons.

#### **Y**- Layout of the experiment:

The experiment was arranged in a randomized complete blocks in a split-plot design with three replicates. The main plots (A) included four levels of farmyard manure (FYM), while six biofertilization treatments occupied the sub plots (B). Therefore, the interaction treatments (A x B) were  $\gamma \epsilon$  treatments. The experimental unit (plot) was  $\gamma x \gamma$  m. and containing  $\epsilon$  rows,  $\circ \cdot$  cm. apart. The seedlings were cultivated in hills,  $\gamma \circ$  cm. apart between plants in the row, therefore, each plot contained  $\gamma \gamma$  plants.

The physical and chemical analysis of the used soil in both seasons are shown in Table (A).

\_ ۳ ° ۷\_

	Va	lue
Soil Character	First season	Second season
	<b></b>	* • • • / * • • •
Sand %	۲۸.۲۰	۲۸.۹۸
Silt %	۳۰.۷۰	۲۹.۸۷
Clay % Soil type	٤١.١٠	٤١.١٥
Organic matter %	Clay loam	Clay loam
Ca Co <sub>r</sub> %	1.70	1.09
pH(\:۲.0)	۲. ۰ ۹	۲.۱۰
E. C. (m mhos / cm)	٧.٨٣	٧.٧٧
Total N %	۱.۰٤	۱.۰۷
Available P % Exch. $K^+$ mg/v g	• . • 9	۰.۰۸
Exch. $K^+$ mg/ $\cdots$ g	10.15	10.79
Exch. $Ca^{++}$ mg/ $\cdots$ g	۲.۱۲	۲.٨٤
Exch. Na <sup>+</sup> mg/ $\cdots$ g	51.70	51.10
DTPA Cu	۲.۳۹	۲.0.
Ext. ppm Zn	٨.00	۸.۳۸
Mn	۲.۰٦	۲
	۲.۷۷	۲.۸۹
	٨.٢٦	A. ) Y

Table A : Physical and chemical properties of the experimental<br/>soil at  $\cdot - \tilde{r} \cdot$  cm depth during the two seasons of<br/> $\tilde{r} \cdot \cdot \tilde{q}/\tilde{r} \cdot 1 \cdot and \tilde{r} \cdot 1 \cdot /\tilde{r} \cdot 11$ 

#### *T*-Treatments:

#### **"-1- Main plots (A):**

The main plots (A) included the following four levels of farmyard manure (FYM) :

\_۳٥٨\_

(1) FYM. : without organic manure, (7) FYM<sub>1</sub> : Farmyard manure at 1° m<sup>r</sup> / fed., (7) FYM<sub>r</sub> : Farmyard manure at 7° m<sup>r</sup> / fed., (2) FYM<sub>r</sub> : Farmyard manure at 7° m<sup>r</sup> / fed.

Farmyard manure was obtained from a private animal farm and added during preparing the soil for cultivation in the two experimental seasons. The analysis of FYM was done according to Black *et al.* (1970) and is shown in Table (B).

<b>Content of FYM</b>	۲۰۰۹ / ۲۰۱۰	* • • • / * • • •
Organic matter %	۲۷.۲٥	۲۷.۷٥
Carbon %	10.7.	10.40
Total N % C / N ration	• . AT	۰.٩٤
Humidity %	١٨.٨٠	17.77
P %	٨.١١	٧.٩٩
K % Fe —	۰.۲٦	•.79
Zn ppm	1.11	۱.۲۱
Mn	٩٧٩.٤	۸۱۸.٦
PH. E.C. (m. mhose / cm)	221.1	779.7
	222.4	۲۳۷.0
	٧.٤٥	٧. ٢ ١
	١٨	۱.۰٦

Table B: Chemical analysis of the farmyard manure in the present study for  $7 \cdot (9/7 \cdot 1)$  and  $7 \cdot (1/7 \cdot 1)$  seasons.

#### $^{\bullet}$ - $^{\bullet}$ - Sub plots (B):

The sub plots were devoted into six treatments of biofertilizers as follows :

(')- Control (without any fertilizers). (')- Phosphorein (Phos.) at  $\cdot$ .' g / plant. (")- Minia Azotein (M.A.) at  $\circ \cdot$  ml / plant. ( $\epsilon$ )- Active dry yeast (ADY) at  $\circ$  g / l. ( $\circ$ )- Phosphorein + Minia Azotein (' + '). (')- Phosphorein + Active dry yeast (' +  $\epsilon$ ).

Fresh and active two biofertilizers namely, phosphorein (containing phosphate dissolving bacteria which was obtained from Ministry of Agric.), Minia Azotein (M.A.) (containing *Azotobacter* 

bacteria, free fixed nitrogen) which was obtained from the Laboratory of Biofertilizers, Dept. of Genetics, Fac. Agric., Minia Univ.) were applied, either separately or in a mixture, twice to the soil around each plant at  $\cdot$ .<sup> $\Upsilon$ </sup> g / plant of phosphorein (after mixing phosphorein with double amounts of sand for easy distribution) and  $\circ \cdot$  ml / plant of M.A. ( $^{\uparrow}$  ml contains  $^{\uparrow} \cdot ^{\land}$  cells of bacteria). The first dose was added after  $^{\Upsilon}$  weeks from transplanting (April  $^{\uparrow st}$ ), while the second one was applied after  $^{\Upsilon}$  weeks from the first cut (July  $^{\Pi} \cdot ^{th}$ ) in both seasons and then plants were irrigated immediately.

Active dry yeast was applied as a foliar spray ( $\circ$  g / l.) twice also at the same schedule mentioned in the two biofertilizers treatments. The plants were sprayed till run off. The dry matter of active dry yeast (*Saccharomyces cervisia*), was  ${}^{\circ}\circ$  % and live cells were  ${}^{1}.^{1} \times {}^{1}.^{\circ}$ / g. The yeast suspension was prepared by dissolving dry yeast and sugar together ( ${}^{1}: {}^{1}$  w / w) in worm water ( ${}^{\circ}A {}^{\circ}C$ ) and let it stand for two hours before spraying to enhance yeast activity (Skoog and Miller,  ${}^{1}\circ {}^{\circ}$ ). Chemical analysis of the dry yeast is presented in Table (C).

Tuble C. Chemical analysis of the about active any yearst											
Protein %	Ash %	Glycogen %	Fats %	Cellulose %							
٣٤.٨٧	۷.00	٦.0٤	۲.•٩	٤.٩٢							

Table C: Chemical analysis of the used active dry yeast.

Other agricultural practices were performed regularly as usual **<sup>4</sup>** - Harvesting:

# During each experimental season the plants were harvested twice. In each harvest, the plants were cut $\cdot$ cm. above the soil surface. The first cut was done on $17^{\text{th}}$ July. Meanwhile, the second

cut was done in October <sup>Yoth</sup> in the two growing seasons.

#### •- Data recorded:

#### •- \- Vegetative growth parameters:

The following data were recorded at the harvesting time of each cut :

(1)- Plant height (cm), (7)- Number of branches / plant. In addition, the following data of vegetative growth characters were also calculated : (7)- Total fresh weight of herb (g / plant / season),

( $\xi$ )- Total dry weight of herb (g / plant / season), ( $\circ$ )- Fresh weight of herb (ton / fed. / season), ( $\uparrow$ )- Dry weight of herb (ton / fed. / season).

#### •-Y- Chemical composition:

(')- Chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids in the fresh leaves (mg / g. fresh weight), (')- N, P and K (%) in the dry herb, ('')- Essential oil percentage, ( $\epsilon$ )- Essential oil yield per plant / season (ml), (°)- Total essential oil yield (liter / fed. / season).

#### *<sup>1</sup>-* Chemical analysis:

# **1-1-** Determination of photosynthetic pigments (mg / g. fresh weight) :

The three plant pigments namely; chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids were determined in the fresh leaves of the middle branches. During the first week of June for the first cut and last week of September for the second cut in the two experimental seasons, a weight of  $\cdot .\circ$  g of the fresh leaves in the three replicates for each treatment was taken. The photosynthetic pigments chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids were extracted by methanol alcohol according to Moran (19 $\Lambda$ ), using the spectrophotometer at wave length of  $1\circ 1$ ,  $11\circ$  and  $2\circ 1.\circ$  um, respectively.

#### *i-i-* Determination of N, P and K percentages:

Herbs were picked on July  $^{st}$  for the first cut and on October  $^{1, th}$  for the second cut of each season and washed several times with tap water, followed by distilled water. The herbs were oven dried at  $^{v, \circ}C$  till constant weight, then they were ground in Willy mill to fine powder, then weighed  $^{\cdot, \gamma}$  g of fine powder and it was digested using a mixture of hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>1</sub>O<sub>1</sub>) and concentrated sulphuric acid (H<sub>1</sub>SO<sub>1</sub>) ( $^{\epsilon}$ :  $^{v, \cdot}$ ). The clear digestion was quantitively to  $^{v, \cdot}$  ml volumetric flask. In this solution the following elements were determined:

- N was determined by using the modified micro-kjeldahl method as described by Wilde *et al.* (1910).
- Phosphorus % was determined by the spectrophotometer at wave length of *io* um according to the method of Chapman and Pratt (*igvo*).
- K % was estimated using flame-photometry method according to Cottenie *et al.* (1947).

#### *¬-~-* Determination of essential oil:

I-T-I- Determination of the essential oil percentage in random samples obtained from the dry herb of each treatment was carried out in each cut during the two experimental seasons according to the method described by British Pharmacopoeia (IAIT) by distilling Yo g of herb for T hours, in order to

extract the essential oil. The essential oil percentage was calculated as follows :

Volume of oil in gradated tube (ml)

x )..

Weight of sample

**\-\-\-** Essential oil yield / plant (ml) was calculated as:

Oil percentage x herb fresh weight

-- Essential oil yield / fed. (L) = oil yield / plant x number of plants / feddan

#### **V**- Statistical analysis:

=

The obtained data were tabulated and statistically analyzed according to **MSTAT-C** (14,1) and the L.S.D. test at  $\circ$  % was followed to compare between the means.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **Effect on vegetative growth :**

Data presented in Tables ( $^{1}$ ,  $^{r}$ ,  $^{r}$  and  $^{\epsilon}$ ) showed that plant height (cm), number of branches / plant / cut and total herb fresh and dry weights / plant (g / plant) and per fed. (t. / fed.) were significantly increased due to all FYM treatments over control in both seasons. The highest values for the six characters were obtained when FYM was applied at  $^{r_{\circ}}$  m<sup>r</sup> / fed. This positive effect of FYM on growth may be due to its high nutritional value and its role in the improvement of physical, chemical and biological properties of soil under investigation. Similar results were found by El–Leithy *et al.* ( $^{r_{\cdot},r_{1}}$ ) on *Mentha piperita*.

Data in Tables (1, 7, 7 and 2) disclosed that all biofertilization treatments significantly promoted all previous growth traits. The most effective treatment was phos. + ADY. The enhancing effect of using ADY and phos. may be due to the influence of hormones on increasing cell division and enlargement (Lethan, 1979 and El –

- ۳ ٦ ۳ -

Sheekh, 1997). In agreement with these results were those obtained by El–Hindi and El–Boraie ( $7 \cdot \cdot \circ$ ) on marjoram plants; Helmy ( $7 \cdot \cdot \wedge$ ) and Ismail ( $7 \cdot \cdot \wedge$ ) on *Nigella sativa* and Abdou and Ashour ( $7 \cdot 17$ ) on jojoba plants.

Table ': Effect of FYM and biofertilization treatments on plant<br/>height (cm) of Salvia officinalis, L. in the first and<br/>second cuts during '... / '... and '... / '...<br/>seasons.

			Farmy	ard ma	nure (F	'YM) le	vels (m	" / fed.)				
D'atau atau anta	First season											
Biotreatments		I	First cu	t			Se	econd c	ut			
	FYM.	FYM,	FYM,	FYM,	Mean (B)	FYM.	FYM,	FYM,	FYM,	Mean (B)		
Control	19.15	۳٥.۳۳	۳۸.٤٣	٤٠.0٣	۳٥.٨٦	77.70	۳۲.۱۰	۳٥.۰۰	۳۷.۲۰	۳۲.٦٣		
Phos.	۳۳.۱۳	<b>T9.TV</b>	٤٢.٣٧	٤٤.٧٧	۳۹.۸۸	۲۹.۳۰	۳٥.٨٠	۳۸.۷۰	٤١.0.	٣٦.٣٣		
M.A.	٣٤.٨٣	٤١.١٧	٤٦.٢٠	٤٩.٣٣	٤٢.٨٨	۳۱.۰۰	۳۷.٦٠	٤٢.0٠	٤٣.٨٠	۳۸.۷۳		
ADY	٣٦.٣٧	٤٢.٧٧	٤٧.٩٠	٥١	٤٤.0١	۳۲.٦٠	۳۸.0۰	٤٤.٣٠	٤٤.٩٠	٤٨		
Phos.+M.A.	۳۷.0۳	٤٣.0٠	٤٨.٦٠	07.1.	٤٥.٤٣	۳۳.۹۰	٣٩.٤٠	٤٥.١٠	٤٦.٧٠	٤١.٢٨		
Phos.+ADY	۳۸.۲۷	٤٤.٣٠	٤٩.0.	07.1.	٤٦.٢٩	٣٤.٧٠	٤٠.٢٠	٤٦.٠٠	٤٧.٣٠	٤٢.٠٥		
Mean (A)	٣٤.٨٨	٤١.٠٦	٤٥.٥٠	٤٨.٤٧		۳۱.۲۸	۳۷.۲۷	٤١.٩٣	٤٣.0٧			
L.S.D.at o%	A: ١.٣٢	В	•.90	AB:	1.9.	A: •. ٨٦ B: •. ٩٨ AB: ١. ٩						
	•		S	econd s	season	•						
Control	۲۹.۸۰	٣٦.٢٠	٣٩.٤٠	٤١.٦٠	٣٦.٧٥	۲۷.۰	۳۲.۹	۳٦.١	۳۸.۱	۳۳.00		
Phos.	۳۳.۹۰	٤٠.١٠	٤٣.0٠	٤٥.٨٠	٤٠.٨٣	۳۰.۱	٣٦.٥	۳۹.۸	٤٢.٤	۳۷.۲۰		
M.A.	۳۰.۸۰	٤٢	٤٧.٦٠	00.	٤٣.٩٨	۳۱.۹	۳۸.٤	٤٣.٥	٤٤.٧	۳۹.٦٣		
ADY	۳۷.۱۰	٤٣.٧٠	٤٩.0٠	07.80	20.70	۳۳.۳	٣٩.٤	٤٥.٢	٤٥.٦	٤•.٨٨		
Phos.+M.A.	۳۸.۳۰	٤٤.٦٠	0	07	٤٦.00	٣٤.٧	٤٠.٤	٤٦.٢	٤٧.٨	٤٢.٢٨		
Phos.+ADY	۳۹.۰۰	٤٢.٠٢	01.5.	٥٣.٨٠	٤٧.٤٠	۳٥.٦	٤١.٣	٤٧.١	٤٨.٣	٤٣.•٨		
Mean (A)	٣٥.٦٥	٤٢.٠٢	٤٦.9٣	٤٩.0٠		۳۲.۱۰	۳۸.۱۰	٤٣.٠٠	££.£٨			
L.S.D.at o%	A: ١.٠.	В		AB:	1.77	A: •	E	<b>3:</b> •	AB	: ١.٦٤		

\_ W ٦ £ \_

- Phos. : Phosphorein
- M.A.: Minia Azotein
- ADY : Active Dry Yeast

# Table $\checkmark$ : Effect of FYM and biofertilization treatments on<br/>number of branches / plant of Salvia officinalis, L. in<br/>the first and second cuts during $\curlyvee (?)$ and<br/> $\curlyvee (?)$ seasons.

			Farmy		nure (F	TYM) le	vels (m	" / fed.)		
					First	season				
Biotreatment		]	First cu	t			Se	econd c	ut	
s	FYM	FYM	FYM	FYM	Mea	FYM	FYM	FYM	FYM	Mea
-		r	۲	٣	n (B)		'n	۲	٣	n (B)
Control	11.1	۱۳.۳	١٤.٨	١٥.٨	۱۳.۸	۲۲.۸	۲۷.0	۳۰.۹	۳۳.۱	۲۸.٦
Phos.	17.7	١٤.0	١٦.١	۱۷. ۰	١٥	۲0	۳۰.۱	۳۳.٦	۳0.۷	۳۱.۱
<b>M.A.</b>	١٢.٤	١٤.٨	١٦.٥	۱۷.٦	۱٥.٣	70.2	۳۰.٦	٣٤.0	۳۷. ۰	۳۱.۹
ADY	١٢.٩	10.0	۱۷.۳	١٨.٥	١٦.١	77.0	۳۲.۱	٣٦.٢	۳۸.۹	۳۳.٤
Phos.+M.A.	۱۳.۰	١٥.٧	۱۷.0	۱۸.۸	١٦.٣	۲٦.٧	۳۲.0	٣٦.٦	۳۹.0	۳۳.۸
Phos.+ADY	۱۳.۳	١٦.١	۱۸.٤	۱۹.۳	١٦.٨	۲۷.۳	۳۳.۳	۳۸.0	٤٠.٥	٣٤.٩
Mean (A)	17.0	١٥	١٦.٨	۱۷.۸		۲0.٦	۳۱.۰	۳٥.١	۳۷.0	
L.S.D.at o%	A:	, E	B: •.71	AB	: •.£7	A: •. • •	E	<b>B</b> : •.7V	AB	:02
			S	econd s	eason					
Control	۱۱.٦	۱۳.۹	۱٥.٣	١٦.٠	١٤.٢	۲۳.۹	۲۸.۹	37.1	۳۳.۹	۲۹.۷
Phos.	١٢.٧	١٥	١٦.٦	۱۷.۳	١٥.٤	۲٦.٢	51.5	٣٤.٨	٣٦.٧	۳۲.۲
M.A.	۱۳.۰	١٥.٥	۱۷.۱	۱۷.۹	١٥.٩	۲٦.٨	۳۲.۲	۳0.9	۳۸.۰	۳۳.۲
ADY	18.0	١٦.١	١٧.٨	١٨.٦	١٦.٥	۲۷.۸	۳۳.0	۳۷.٤	٣٩.٤	٣٤.٥
Phos.+M.A.	١٣.٧	١٦.٤	۱۸.۱	۱۹.٤	١٦.٩	۲۸.۲	٣٤.١	۳۸.۰	٤١.١	۳٥.٤
Phos.+ADY	١٤.١	١٦.٩	۱۹.۰	۲۰.۱	۱۷.٥	79.1	50.5	٣٩.٩	٤٢.٦	٣٦.٧
Mean (A)	۱۳.۱	١٥.٦	۱۷.۳	۱۸.۲		۲۷. ۰	۳۲.0	٣٦.٤	۳۸.٦	

\_ ٣٦٦\_

L.S.D.at o%	A:	B: • . 7 7	AB:	Α:ε.	B: •.17	AB: •. ٤٦

- Phos. : Phosphorein
- M.A.: Minia Azotein
- ADY : Active Dry Yeast

# Table " : Effect of FYM and biofertilization treatments on total<br/>herb fresh weights per plant and per feddan / season of<br/>Salvia officinalis, L. during ```` / ``` and ```` /<br/>``.`` seasons.

			Farn	iyard m	anure (F	FYM) lev	vels (m <sup>*</sup>	/ fed.)				
	Herb fresh weight (g / plant / season)											
Biotreatments		F	irst seas	on			See	cond sea	son			
	FYM.	FYM,	FYM,	FYM,	Mean (B)	FYM.	FYM,	FYM,	FYM,	Mean (B)		
Control	117.9.	171.00	197.00	220.20	172.90	170.0.	171.00	۲۰٦.۲۰	۲۳٥.٤٠	185.20		
Phos.	۱۳۳.۹۰	۱۹۳.۸۰	10.0.	۲۷۲.۸۰	7.9	١٤٧.٩٠	۲۰۰.۹۰	٢٤٦.٧٠	۲۸٤.٦٠	771.77		
M.A.	١٤٨.١٠	۲۱٦.۰۰	777.7.	۲۸۹.۹۰	779.10	١٦٣.٣٠	۲۲۸.٦٠	۲۷٤.۸۰	۳۰٤.۲۰	۲٤٢.٧٣		
ADY	107.50	۲۲۸.۰۰	۲۷٥.٩٠	۳۰۰.۷۰	751.58	۱۷۳.٦٠	۲٤٣.۲۰	89.11	۳۲۰.۹۰	707.90		
Phos.+M.A.	177.7.	۲۳٦.۲۰	۲۸٦.۲۰	۳۱۰.۰۰	1011	۱۸۰.۳۰	101.70	۳۰۱.۲۰	۳۳۳.۰۰	777.00		
Phos.+ADY	۱۷۳.٤۰	٢٤٨.٩٠	۳۰۱.۰۰	۳۳٤.۳۰	775.07	198.2.	777.7.	۳۱۸.۸۰	۳٥٤.0۰	۲۸۳.۳۳		
Mean (A)	١٤٧.٩٧	۲۱٤.۰۷	109.71	890.20		172.00	۲۲۷.۸۳	۲۷۲.۹۷	۳۰۰.0۲			
L.S.D.at o%	A: 0.77	В	: ۳. • ۲	AB	: ٦.• ٤	А: •.٩•	Ι	B: ١.٦٢	AB	: ٣.٢٥		
		Herb	fresh w	eight (to	n / fedda	an / seas	on)					
Control	۳.٦١	٥١.٧	٦.٢٧	۷.۲۱	0.07	٤. • ٢	0.29	٦.٦٠	۷.0۳	0.91		
Phos.	٤.٢٨	٦٢.٠	٧.0٤	۸.۷۳	٦.٦٩	٤.٧٣	7.09	٧.٨٩	۹.۱۱	٧.٠٨		
M.A.	٤.٧٤	٦٩.١	٨.٤٠	۹.۲۸	۷.۳۳	0.7٣	۷.۳۲	٨.٧٩	۹.۷۳	٧.٧٧		
ADY	0	۷۳.۰	۸.۸۳	۹.۷۸	٧.٧٣	0.07	۷.۷۸	9.78	۱۰.۲۷	٨.٢٢		
Phos.+M.A.	0.77	٧٥.٦	9.17	۱۰.۱۰	۸.۰۱	0.77	٨.•٤	9.78	۱۰.٦٢	۸.0۳		
Phos.+ADY	0.00	٧٩.٦	9.70	۱۰.۷۰	٨.٤٦	٦.١٩	۸.0۳	۱۰.۲۰	۱۱.۳٤	۹.۰۷		
Mean (A)	٤.٧٣	٦.٨٥	۸.۳۱	۹.۳۰		0.70	٧.٢٩	۸.۷۳	٩.٧٨			
L.S.D.at o%	A: •.١٨	В	5: •. •	AB	5: •.19	A:٣	I	B: •.••	AB	: •. ١ •		

• Phos. : Phosphorein

- M.A.: Minia Azotein
- ADY : Active Dry Yeast

# Table $\xi$ : Effect of FYM and biofertilization treatments on total<br/>herb dry weights per plant and per feddan / season of<br/>Salvia officinalis, L. during $\forall \cdots \forall / \forall \cdots \forall$ and $\forall \cdots \lor /$ <br/> $\forall \cdots \lor \forall$ seasons.

				ard ma	nure (F	FYM) le	vels (m	" / fed.)		
			Не	rb dry	weight	(g / plaı	nt / seas	on)		
		Fi	rst seas	on			Sec	ond sea	son	
Biotreatments	FYM.	FYM,	FYM,	FYM,	Mean (B)	FYM.	FYM,	FYM,	FYM,	Mean (B)
Control	٤١.٢٨	٥٨.٩٩	۷۰.۳٤	۸۰.۳۸	٦٢.٧٥	٤٦.٠٢	٦٢.٨٠	٧٤.٦٩	۸۳.۹۸	٦٦.٨٧
Phos.	٤٨.٨٢	۷۰.۷۰	٨٤.١٤	٩٦.٨١	٧٥.١٢	٥٤.١٥	٧٥.٢٧	٨٩.١١	1.1.7.	٧٩.٩٣
M.A.	٥٤.٠٧	۷۸.۷٥	٩٣.٤٠	1.7.7.	۸۲.۱۳	٥٩.٧٠	۸۳.٦٠	9.4.99	1.4.14	۸۷.۳۷
ADY	٥٧.٠٤	۸۳.۱۷	٩٧.٧٣	1.4.44	۸٦.٣٠	٦٣.٣٨	٨٨.٦٦	۱۰٤.۰۷	117.07	97.02
Phos.+M.A.	09.77	۸٦.١٣	۱۰۰.۹٤	11	٨٩.١٧	70.72	٩١.٤٦	1.4.40	117.9.	٩٤.٧١
Phos.+ADY	٦٣.٢٣	۹۰.۷۲	۱٠٥.٨٦	117.77	٩٤.٠٤	۷۰.٤۲	97.92	۱۱۳.۸۹	171.00	۱۰۰.۷۰
Mean (A)	٥٤	۷۸.۰۸	۹۲.۰۷	1.7.7.		09.9.	۸۳.۱۲	۹۸.۰۸	1.7.75	
L.S.D.at o%	A: •.٧٤	В	: •.٦٣	AB:	۱.۲۷	A: •.70	, E	B: •. ٤٨	AB	: •.97
		Herb	dry we	eight (t.	/ fedda	n / seas	on)			
Control	1.77	١.٨٩	۲.۲۰	۲.0۷	۲.۰۱	١.٤٧	۲.۰۱	۲.۳۹	۲.٦٩	۲.۱٤
Phos.	1.07	۲.۲٦	۲.٦٩	۳.۱۰	۲.٤٠	۱.۷۳	۲.٤١	۲.۸۰	۳.٢٤	۲.0٦
M.A.	۱.۷۳	7.07	۲.۹۹	۳.۲۷	۲.٦٣	١.٩١	۲.٦٨	۳.۱۷	۳.٤٣	۲.۸۰
ADY	۱.۸۳	۲.٦٦	۳.۱۳	۳.٤٣	۲.۷٦	۲.۰۳	۲.۸٤	۳.۳۳	۳.0۸	۲.90
Phos.+M.A.	۱.۹۱	۲.۷٦	۳.۲۳	۳.0۲	۲.۸٥	۲.۱۰	۲.۹۳	٣.٤٥	٣.٦٤	۳.۰۳
Phos.+ADY	۲.۰۲	۲.٩٠	۳.۳۹	۳.۷۲	۳.۰۱	7.70	۳.۱۰	٣.٦٤	۳.۸۹	۳.۲۲
Mean (A)	۱.۷۳	۲.0۰	7.90	۳.۲۷		1.97	۲.٦٦	۳.١٤	٣.٤١	
L.S.D.at o%	A: ۲	В	: •.• ٢	AB	: •.• ٤	A:	E E	3: •.• ٢	AB	: •.• ٤

\_ ۳ ۷ • \_

- Phos. : Phosphorein
- M.A.: Minia Azotein ADY: Active Dry Yeast

The interaction between FYM and biofertilization treatments was significant for all studied vegetative growth traits in both seasons. The highest values were obtained due to FYM ( $r \circ m^r$  / fed.) in combination with phos. + ADY.

#### Effect on essential oil productivity :

The results in Tables (°and <sup>7</sup>) indicated that FYM at the three used levels (1°, 7° and 7° m<sup>r</sup> / fed.) significantly promoted essential oil % in both cuts and essential oil yield (ml / plant and liter / fed.) in dried herb of sage plants over those of control plants during both seasons. The highest values were obtained with application of FYM at 7° m<sup>r</sup> / fed.

The above results are in agreement with those obtained by Khalil *et al.*  $(\uparrow \cdot \cdot \land)$  on sage plants, Mishra and Negi  $(\uparrow \cdot \cdot \uparrow)$  on *S. sclarea* and Sukhmal *et al.*  $(\uparrow \cdot \cdot \uparrow)$  on *Mentha arvensis*.

Data indicated also that all treatments used of biofertilizers significantly increased essential oil (%) in both cuts and essential oil yield / plant and / fed. in both seasons compared with untreated control plants. The plants treated with phos. + ADY had yield essential oil more than the plants treated with other biofertilizers treatments. Similar results were obtained by Abou El–Ela ( $\tau \cdot \cdot \tau$ ) on sage plants; Salman ( $\tau \cdot \cdot \tau$ ) on sweet basil and Helmy ( $\tau \cdot \cdot \Lambda$ ) on black cumin plants.

The interaction effect on essential oil % and yield was significant. The highest values were obtained when plants supplied with  $r \circ m^r$  / fed. FYM in combination with phos. + ADY.

#### **Effect on chemical constituents :**

It is clear from data in Tables  $(\vee, \wedge, \neg, \vee, \vee)$  and  $\vee \vee$ ) that all three tested FYM treatments significantly increased the contents of chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids, as well as, percentages of N, P and K over those of unorganic fertilized plants in both cuts during both seasons. Among such three FYM treatments, the high level of FYM  $(\vee \circ m^{\vee} / \text{fed.})$  gave the highest values. These results are in accordance with the previous studies obtained by El–Leithy *et al.*  $(\vee \cdot \vee)$  and Shala  $(\vee \cdot \vee)$  on sage plants and Sukhmal *et al.*  $(\vee \cdot \vee)$  and Abdou *et al.*  $(\vee \cdot \vee)$  on *Mentha* spp.

Table • : Effect of FYM and biofertilization treatments on oil<br/>percentage of Salvia officinalis, L. in the first and<br/>second cuts during Y... / Y... and Y... / Y...<br/>seasons.

			Farmy	ard ma	nure (F	YM) le	vels (m	" / fed.)		
					First	season				
Biotreatment		]	First cu	t			S	econd c	ut	
s	FYM	FYM	FYM	FYM	Mea	FYM	FYM	FYM	FYM	Mea
5	•	ŗ	۲	٣	n (B)		ŗ	۲	٣	n (B)
Control	١.٦١	١.٦٤	١.٦٩	۱.۷٥	١.٦٧	1.77	1.70	١.٧٦	۱.۸۱	۱.۷۱
Phos.	۱.۷۰	۱.٧٤	١.٨١	۱.۸۸	۱.۷۸	۱.۷۳	١.٧٥	١.٩٤	۲.۱۱	۱.۸۸
<b>M.A.</b>	۱.۷۳	۱.۷۷	١.٨٥	۱.۹۳	۱.۸۲	۱.٧٤	۱.۷۷	۲.۰۱	7.70	١.٩٤
ADY	۱.۸۰	۱.٨٤	1.97	۱.۹۷	۱.۸۸	۱.۸۱	١.٨٥	7.77	۲.۳۲	۲.۰٥
Phos.+M.A.	۱.۸۰	۱.۸٥	1.92	۲.۲۲	١.٩٥	۱.۸۲	١.٨٥	۲.۲٤	۲.٤٨	۲.۱۰
Phos.+ADY	۱.٨٤	1.91	۲.۱۸	۲.۳٤	۲.۰۷	١.٨٥	1.99	۲.۳۹	7.79	۲.۲۳
Mean (A)	۱.۷٥	۱.۷۹	١.٩٠	۲.۰۲		۱.٧٦	۱.۸۱	۲.۰۹	۲.۲۸	
L.S.D.at •%	A: \	В	: •.• ٢	AB:	•.•٣	A: Y B: Y AB:				
			S	econd s	eason					
Control	1.79	١.٧٦	۱.۸٤	1.90	۱.۸۱	۱.۸۰	1.91	١.٩٩	۲.۱۲	١.٩٦
Phos.	1.74	١.٨٥	1.9٣	۲.۰٤	۱.۹۰	١.٨٩	۲.۰۰	۲.۱۰	۲.۲۳	۲.۰٦
M.A.	۱.۸۷	١.٩٤	۲.۰۲	۲.۱۳	١.٩٩	١.٩٩	۲.۱۰	۲.۲۱	۲.۳٤	۲.۱٦
ADY	١.٩٦	۲.۰٤	۲.۱٤	۲.۲٦	۲.۱۰	۲.۰۹	۲.۲۲	۲.۳۳	۲.٤٧	۲.۲۸
Phos.+M.A.	١.٩٨	۲.۰٦	۲.۱٥	۲.۳٥	۲.۱٤	7.17	7.70	۲.۳۸	7.00	۲.۳۳
Phos.+ADY	۲.۱۰	۲.۲۱	۲.۳۲	۲.٤٧	۲.۲۸	7.70	۲.۳۹	7.07	۲.۷۸	۲.٤٩
Mean (A)	۱.۹۰	۱.۹۸	۲.۰۷	۲.۲۰		۲.۰۲	7.10	۲.۲٦	۲.٤٢	

\_ ۳ ۷ ۳\_

L.S.D.at o%	A: \	B: ٢	AB: ···· ٤	A:ĭ	В: • • • т	AB: • • • \$
-------------	------	------	------------	-----	------------	--------------

- Phos. : Phosphorein
- M.A. : Minia Azotein
- ADY : Active Dry Yeast

# Table $\neg$ : Effect of FYM and biofertilization treatments on total<br/>oil yield per plant (ml) and per feddan (l) / season of<br/>Salvia officinalis, L. during $\neg \cdot \cdot \neg / \neg \cdot \cdot \cdot$ and $\neg \cdot \cdot / \neg \cdot / \neg \cdot \cdot \cdot$ <br/>seasons.

			Farmy	ard ma	nure (F	TYM) le	vels (m	" / fed.)		
				Oil yiel	d (ml /	plant /	season)			
Biotreatment		Fi	rst seas	on			Sec	ond sea	son	
s	FYM	FYM	FYM	FYM	Mea	FYM	FYM	FYM	FYM	Mea
	•	,	۲	٣	<b>n</b> ( <b>B</b> )	•	'n	۲	٣	n (B)
Control	•.٦٦٧	۰.۹۷۱	۱.۲۱٦	1.277	1	۰.۸۰٥	1.107	1.277	١.٧١٦	1.779
Phos.	۰.۸۳۸	1.772	۱.۰۸۳	1.927	1.799	•.997	1.200	۱.۸۰۳	۲.۱۷۰	۱.٦٠٦
М.А.	•.977	1.395	۱.۸۱۰	۲.10٤	١.٥٧٤	1.107	١.٦٩٦	۲.۱۰۳	۲.٤٠٦	۱.٨٤٠
ADY	۱.۰۳۰	1.070	۲.۰۳۷	۲.۳۲۰	۱.۷۳۰	1.7.47	۱.۸۹۷	۲.۳۳٦	۲.٦٦٢	۲.•٤٦
Phos.+M.A.	۱.۰۷۸	1.092	۲.۱۲۳	۲.٦٠١	۱.٨٤٩	1.707	۱.۹۸۰	7.207	۲.٨٠٤	۲.۱٤٧
Phos.+ADY	۱.۱٦٧	۱.۷۷۳	7.279	۲.9٤٨	۲.۰۷۹	1.077	۲.۲۳۸	۲.۷۷۳	8.212	۲.٤٤٠
Mean (A)	•.907	۱.٤١٧	۱.۸٦٦	۲.۲۳۳		1.1.49	1.777	7.101	7.290	
L.S.D.at •%	A: ٢	N B	• • • • • • •	AB:		$A: \dots \circ \qquad B: \dots \circ \qquad AB: \dots$				
		Oi	l yield (	liter / fe	eddan /	season	)			
Control	۲۱.۳۰	۳۱.۰٦	۳۸.۹۰	٤٥.٨٦	٣٤.٢٩	7077	۳۷.۰۲	٤٥.٩٦	٥٤.٩١	٤٠.٩٢
Phos.	۲٦.٨١	۳۹.٤٩	070	77.10	٤٤.٧٧	8178	٤٦.00	٥٧.٧٠	٦٩.٤٣	01.89
M.A.	۳۰.۰۳	22.71	٥٧.٩١	٦٨.٩٣	٥٠.٣٧	۳٦٩٨	02.77	٦٧.٢٩	۷۷.۰۱	٥٨.٨٨
ADY	<b>TT.90</b>	29.17	70.19	٧٤.٢٣	00.97	٤١١٩	٦٠.٧١	٧٤.٧٥	٨٥.١٩	२०.१२
Phos.+M.A.	٣٤.0١	099	٦٧.٩٥	۸۳.۲۳	09.17	٤٣٢٦	٦٣.٣٤	۷۸.٤٧	٨٩.٧٤	٦٨.٧١
Phos.+ADY	۳۷.۳٤	०२.४१	۷۷.۷٤	9 £ . ٣٣	77.02	٤٩٢٠	٧١.٦٣	٨٨.٧٤	۱۰۲.۷۸	۷۸.۰۹
Mean (A)	۳۰.۰۰	٤٥.٣٣	०१.७४	٧١.٤٥		۳۸.۰۰	00.09	٦٨.٨٢	۷۹.۸٤	

\_ ۳ ۷ 0 \_

L.S.D.at o%	A: איזיי	B: •.• ٤	AB: \	Α: έν	В: ∙.זי	AB: ١.٣٥

- Phos. : Phosphorein
- M.A.: Minia Azotein
- ADY : Active Dry Yeast

# Table $\vee$ : Effect of FYM and biofertilization treatments on<br/>chlorophyll a (mg / g. F.W.) of Salvia officinalis, L.<br/>leaves in the first and second cuts during $\forall \cdot \cdot \land \forall$ <br/> $\forall \cdot \cdot \land \cdot \land \forall$ seasons.

				ard ma	nure (F		vels (m	" / fed.)		
					First	season				
Biotreatment		I	First cu	t			Se	econd c	ut	
S	FYM	FYM	FYM	FYM	Mea	FYM	FYM	FYM	FYM	Mea
		'n	۲	٣	<b>n</b> ( <b>B</b> )		'n	۲	٣	<b>n</b> ( <b>B</b> )
Control	۱.۳۸۰	١.٤٧٠	١.٥٤١	1.7.7	1.291	١.٤٦٨	1.009	1.772	١.٦٧٩	۱.۰۸۳
Phos.	١.٤٦٠	1.000	١.٦٢٧	١.٦٨٩	۱.۰۸۳	1.00%	1.707	١.٧٢٣	۱.۷۸۱	1.779
M.A.	1.07.	١.٦٢٠	1.79٣	1.707	١.٦٤٧	1.71٣	۱.۷۱۰	1.741	۱.٨٤١	۱.۷۳٦
ADY	1.09.	1.790	١.٧٦٩	۱.۸۳۰	۱.۷۲۱	١.٦٧٨	۱.۷۷۹	1.807	1.912	۱.۸۰٦
Phos.+M.A.	1.70.	۱.٧٦٠	۱.۸۳۱	1.742	۱.۷۸۳	۱.۷۳۹	۱.٨٤٢	1.9.7	۱.۹٦٧	۱.٨٦٣
Phos.+ADY	۱.۷۲۰	۱.۸۳٥	١.٩٠٨	1.971	۱.۸۰۹	۱.۸۱٤	1.9.9	1.972	1.999	1.972
Mean (A)	1.007	1.707	1.774	۱.۷۹۰		1.720	1.727	١.٨٠٩	۱.٨٦٤	
L.S.D.at o%	A: • • • •	• B:	•.•0£	AB:		A: • • • \$	N B	: •.•١٦	AB:	•.•٣٢
			S	econd s	eason					
Control	۱.۳۹۱	1.215	1.009	1.710	1.017	١.٤٧٦	1.070	۱.٦٣٠	١.٦٨٨	1.09.
Phos.	1.277	١.0٦٤	۱.٦٣٨	۱.٦٩٨	1.09٣	1.079	١.٦٦١	۱.۷۳۰	۱.۷۹۳	۱.٦٨٨
M.A.	۱.0۳٤	۱.٦٢٨	۱.۷۰۸	۱.۷٦٧	1.709	۱.٦٢١	1.717	1.790	١.٨٥٤	۱.٧٤٦
ADY	1.099	۱.۲۰۰	۱.۷۸۱	۱.٨٤١	۱.۷۳۰	١.٦٨٥	۱.۷۸۸	۱.٨٦٤	1.97٣	۱.۸۱٥
Phos.+M.A.	1.772	۱.۷۷۱	۱.٨٤٣	1	۱.۷۹٤	١.٧٤٥	۱.٨٤٩	1.911	۱.۹۷۸	۱.۸۷۱
Phos.+ADY	۱.۷۳٤	۱.٨٤٠	1.917	۱.۹۸۳	١.٨٦٩	۱.۸۲۱	1.919	1.9.1	7.071	١.٩٣٦
Mean (A)	1.077	1.772	۱.٧٤١	١.٨٠١		1.708	۱.٧٤٩	١.٨١٩	۱.۸۷٦	

\_ ۳ V V\_

L.S.D.at o%	A: •.•••٣	B: £7	AB: ••• • • \$	A: 01	B: ••• ۲ ۲	AB: ٤٤

- Phos. : Phosphorein
- M.A.: Minia Azotein
- ADY : Active Dry Yeast

# Table ^ : Effect of FYM and biofertilization treatments on<br/>chlorophyll b (mg / g. F.W.) of Salvia officinalis, L.<br/>leaves in the first and second cuts during Y... /<br/>Y... and Y... Y. Seasons.

			Farmy	ard ma		YM) le	vels (m	" / fed.)		
					First s	season				
Biotreatment		]	First cu	t		Second cut				
S	FYM	FYM	FYM	FYM	Mea	FYM	FYM	FYM	FYM	Mea
		,	۲	٣	<b>n</b> ( <b>B</b> )		'n	۲	٣	n (B)
Control	•. ٤ ٤ •	۰.٤٧٠	•.29٣	•.012	•. 579	•. £ 7 9		071	۰.٥٤٠	•.••
Phos.	•. £ 7 V	•. £ 9 ٨	•.077	•.027	•.•.٨	•. 299	071	•.005	•.07٣	•.079
M.A.	·. £ \ ٦	07.	•.022	•.072	•.079	·.01V		•.077	۰.09٤	009
ADY	01.	•.020	•.079	09.	00£	۰.0۳۹		۰.٦٠١	۰.٦١٨	۰.۰۸۳
Phos.+M.A.	07.	۰.٥٦٧	09.	۰.٦١١	۰.070	001	۰.٦٠٠	۰.٦١٤	۰.٦٣٦	•.٦•٢
Phos.+ADY	007	091	۰.٦١٦	۰.٦٣٧	•.099	•.010	۰.٦١٦	۰.٦٣٨	•.727	
Mean (A)	·. £ 9 A	•.077	007	·		07٨	•.077	•.015	۰.٦٠١	
L.S.D.at o%	A: ••• •	т B:	•.•1٣	AB:		A: )	\ В	: •.•١٢	AB:	•.• 7 £
	I		S	econd s	eason	I				
Control	•. 202	•. \$ \ \$	۰.0.٩		•. £9£	•. 5 \ 7	017	077	007	
Phos.	·. £ A N		•.077	007	071	•.01٣	•.027	۰.٥٦٧	·	00٣
M.A.		•.077	۰.00۹	079	•.027	07.	071	•.044	۰.٦٠٨	
ADY	•.07٣	•.007	•.017	•.٦•٣	۰.0٦٦	001	•.•٨٦	۰.٦١١	۰.۸۳۱	•.720
Phos.+M.A.	020	•.01.	۰.٦٠٤	۰.٦٢٣	•.014	۰.0۷۱	۰.٦٠٦	۰.٦٢٧	•.729	۰.٦١٣
Phos.+ADY	۰.0٦٨	۰.٦٠٣	۰.٦٢٩	•.701	۰.٦١٣	۰.٦٠٠	•.779		۰.٦٦٤	۰.٦٣٦
Mean (A)	017	022	۰.07۰	۰.09۰		۰.0٤١	•.077	•.097	•.729	

\_۳۷۹\_

L.S.D.at o%	A: ١٣	B: ••• ١٤	AB: ••• ٢٨	А:т.	B: ••••	$AB: \cdots$ ) $\neg$

- Phos. : Phosphorein
- M.A.: Minia Azotein
- ADY : Active Dry Yeast

# Table 4 : Effect of FYM and biofertilization treatments on<br/>carotenoids (mg / g. F.W.) of Salvia officinalis, L.<br/>leaves in the first and second cuts during Y...4 /<br/>Y...4 and Y...4 Y...4 seasons.

				ard ma	nure (F		vels (m	" / fed.)			
					First s	season					
Biotreatment		]	First cu	t			Se	econd c	ut		
S	FYM	FYM	FYM	FYM	Mea	FYM	FYM	FYM	FYM	Mea	
		,	۲	٣	n (B)		`	۲	٣	n (B)	
Control	·. £ / ·	01.	•.072	·.00£		•.••	079	071	•.079	·.0£V	
Phos.	•.••		•.07٣	•.•^٣	•.05٨			09٣	۰.٦١٤	•.077	
M.A.	•.077	07.	•.010	•.7•£	۰.0٦٩		91	•.715	۰.٦٣٣	•.099	
ADY		•.010	۰.٦١٠	۰.٦٣١	•.092	۰.٥٧٩	•.712	۰.٦٣٧	•.707	•.٦٢٢	
Phos.+M.A.		•.099	۰.٦٣٣	•.707	•.712	099	•.780	•.700	•.770	۰.٦٤١	
Phos.+ADY	09٣	۰.٦٣٢	•.707	•.٦٧٧	۰.٦٤٠	•.770		•.٦٧٨	•.٦٨٧	•.777	
Mean (A)	٣٨		۰.09٧	•.717		077	۰.٦٠١	•.٦٢٣	•.721		
L.S.D.at o%	A: •••	• B:	•.•17	AB:		A: )	٦ B	: •.•١٣	AB: אדז		
			S	econd s	eason						
Control	·. £ \	•.012	•.079		072		۰.0٤١	•.07٣	•.017		
Phos.	01.	۰.٥٤١	•.077	•.•٨٦	001	•.022	•.075	•.097	۰.٦١٩	•.015	
M.A.	•.071	•.07٣	•.079	•.7•9	•.077	071	•.097	•.٦١٨	•.789	۰.٦٠٣	
ADY	·.00£	·.0AV	•.712	•.7٣٤	۰.09٧	077	017	•.721	•.٨٦٢	•.7£A	
Phos.+M.A.	070	۰.٦١٠	•.770	•.70٣	۰.٦١٨	۰.٦٠٢	۰.٦٣٦	•.707	•.779	•.722	
Phos.+ADY	۰.09٧	۰.٦٣٣	•.709	•.٦٨٢	•.72٣	•.٦٢٨	•.709	۰.٦٨١	•.790	•.٦٦٦	
Mean (A)	027	070	•.7••	•.77•		۰.07۰	•.•٨٦	•.٦٢٦	۰.٦٨٠		

#### \_۳۸۱\_

L.S.D.at o%	A:)٦	<b>Β:</b> \ ε	AB: ••• ۲۸	A: ••• • •	B: ••••	AB: ••• ١٦

- Phos. : Phosphorein
- M.A.: Minia Azotein
- ADY : Active Dry Yeast

Table \.: Effect of FYM and biofertilization treatments on<br/>nitrogen % of Salvia officinalis, L. in the first and<br/>second cuts during Y...9 / Y.l. and Y.l. / Y.ll<br/>seasons.

	1		T	1	/T		1 (	۲/е I)		
			Farmy	ard mai	nure (F	YM) le	vels (m	/ fed.)		
					First	season				
		]	First cu	t			S	econd c	ut	
Biotreatment	FYM	FYM	FYM	FYM	Mea	FYM	FYM	FYM	FYM	Mea
s					n (B)					n (B)
	•	ì	۲	٣	п (В)	•	'n	۲	٣	п (в)
Control	1.01	۲.0۳	7.00	۲.0۷	۲.02۳	۲.۷۳	۲.۷٤	۲.۷۹	۲.۸۳	۲.۷۷۳
Phos.	۲.00	۲.0۷	۲.0٨	۲.٦٠	۲.070	۲.۷٦	۲.۷۸	۲.۸٤	۲.۸٦	۲.۸۱۰
M.A.	۲.٦٤	۲.٦٩	۲.۷۱	۲.۷۲	۲.٦٩٠	۲.۸۲	۲.۸٥	۲.۹۱	۲.9٤	۲.۸۸۰
ADY	۲.٦٦	۲.۷۰	۲.۷۳	۲.۷۳	۲.۷۰۰	۲.۸٦	۲.۹۱	۲.۹۷	۲.۹۸	۲.9۳۰
Phos.+M.A.	۲.٦٩	۲.۷٤	۲.۷۷	۲.۷۸	۲.۷٤٥	۲.۸۹	۲.۹٦	۲.۹۸	۲.۹۹	7.900
Phos.+ADY	۲.۷۱	۲.۷٦	۲.۷۷	۲.۷۸	۲.۷٥٥	۲.۹۲	۲.۹۸	۲.۹۸	۲.۹۹	۲.۹٦۸
Mean (A)	۲.٦٢٨	7.770	۲.٦٨٥	۲.٦٩٧		۲.۸۳۰	۲.۸۷۰	۲.۹۱۲	۲.۹۳۲	
L.S.D.at o%	A: )	۱ B	: 17	AB	: N.S	A: )	۸ ]	B: •.• ١ ٤	AE	8: N.S
			S	econd s	season					
Control	۲.0۷	۲.0٩	۲.٦٢	۲.٦٥	۲.٦٠٨	۲.۷۳	۲.۷٤	۲.۷۷	۲.۷۹	۲.۷۰۸
Phos.	۲.٦٠	۲.٦٣	۲.٦٦	۲.٦٨	۲.٦٤٣	۲.۷٥	۲.۷۹	۲.۸۱	۲.۸۲	۲.۷۹۳
M.A.	۲.٦٩	۲.۷۲	۲.۷٦	۲.۷۹	۲.٧٤٠	۲.۸٦	۲.۸۹	۲.۹۱	۲.۹۳	۲.۸۹۸
ADY	۲.٦٩	۲.٧٤	۲.۷۸	۲.۸۰	۲.۷٥٣	۲.۸۷	۲.۸۹	۲.۹۳	۲.9٤	۲.۹۰۸
Phos.+M.A.	۲.٧٤	۲.۷۹	۲.۸۲	۲.۸۳	۲.۷۹٥	۲.۸۹	7.90	۲.۹۸	۲.۹۸	7.90.
Phos.+ADY	۲.۷٦	۲.۸۲	۲.۸۳	۲.۸٤	۲.۸۱۳	۲.۹۳	۲.۹٦	۲.۹۸	7.99	7.970
Mean (A)	۲.٦٧٥	۲.۷۱٥	۲.٧٤٥	۲.۷٦٥		۲.۸۳۸	۲.۸۷۰	۲.۸۹۷	۲.۹۰۸	

\_ ۳۸۳\_

L.S.D.at o%	A: ••• • •	B: ••• ١٩	AB: N.S	A:	B: • • • • •	AB: N.S

- Phos. : Phosphorein
- M.A.: Minia Azotein
- ADY : Active Dry Yeast

# 

			Farmy	ard ma	nure (F	'YM) le	vels (m	" / fed.)		
					First	season				
Biotreatment			First cu	t			Se	econd c	ut	
s	FYM	FYM	FYM	FYM	Mea	FYM	FYM	FYM	FYM	Mea
	•	'n	۲	٣	<b>n</b> ( <b>B</b> )		,	۲	٣	<b>n</b> ( <b>B</b> )
Control	•. ٢ •	•. * *	۰.۲۳	۰.۲٤	•.٢٢٣	۰.۲۳	•.70	۰.۲٦	۰.۲۷	•.707
Phos.	•.70	•.77	۰.۲۸	•.79	•. ٢٧٠	۰.۲۸	۰.۳۰	۰.۳۱	۰.۳۲	۰.۳۰۳
M.A.	۰.٢٤	•. ٢٦	•. ٢٧	۰.۲۸	۰.۲٦٣	۰.۲۸	۰.۲۹	۰.۳۰	۰.۳۱	•.790
ADY	۰.۲٦	•.77	•.79	۰.۳۰	۰.۲۸۰	۰.۲۹	۰.۳۱	•.٣٢	۰.۳۳	•.٣١٣
Phos.+M.A.	۰.۲٦	•.77	•.79	۰.۳۲	•.770	۰.۳۰	۰.۳۱	۰.۳۳	۰.۳٤	۰.۳۲۰
Phos.+ADY	۰.۲۷	۰.۲۸	۰.۲۹	۰.۳٤	•.790	۰.۳۰	•.٣٢	۰.۳٤	۰.۳٥	۰.۳۲۸
Mean (A)	۰.٢٤٧	•.77•	•.770	•.790		۰.۲۸۰	•. ٢٩٧	۰.۳۱۰	۰.۳۲۰	
L.S.D.at o%	A:	۹ B	5: •.• • • •	AB	: N.S	A:	۹ I	B: •.••	AE	8: N.S
			S	econd s	eason	I				
Control	۰.۲۱	•. * *	۰.٢٤	•.70	•.77•	۰.٢٤	•.70	۰.۲٦	۰.۲۷	•.700
Phos.	۲۲.۰	۰.۲۸	•.79	۰.۳۱	•.770	۰.۲۹	۰.۳۲	۰.۳۳	۰.۳٤	۰.۳۲۰
M.A.	۰.۲٥	•. ٢٧	•. ٢٨	•.79	•.177	۰.۲۸	۰.۲۹	۰.۳۱	۰.۳۲	•
ADY	۰.۲۷	•.79	۰.۳۰	۰.۳۲	•.790	۰.۳۰	۰.۳۲	۰.۳۳	•.٣٥	•.770
Phos.+M.A.	۰.۲۸	•.79	۰.۳۱	۰.۳۲	•.٣••	۰.۳۱	۰.۳۳	۰.۳٥	۰.۳٦	۰.۳۳۸
Phos.+ADY	۰.۲۸	۰.۳۰	۰.۳۱	۰.۳۳		۰.۳۲	۰.۳٤	۰.۳٥	۰.۳۷	۰.٣٤٥
Mean (A)		•.770	۰.۲۸۸	۰.۳۰۳		•.79•	۰.۳۰۸	•.٣٢٢	•.٣٣٥	

\_ ۳ ۸ 0 \_

L.S.D.at o%	A: ••• ١٤	B: •••• v	AB: N.S	A: ) ۲	B: •••• ٩	AB: N.S

- Phos. : Phosphorein
- M.A.: Minia Azotein
- ADY : Active Dry Yeast

# Table \\?Effect of FYM and biofertilization treatments on<br/>potassium % of Salvia officinalis, L. in the first and<br/>second cuts during ```` / ```` and ```' / ````<br/>seasons.

			Farmy	ard ma	nure (F	TYM) le	vels (m	" / fed.)		
D's tax a tax and					First	season				
Biotreatment s		]	First cu	t			S	econd c	ut	
5	FYM	FYM	FYM	FYM	Mea	FYM	FYM	FYM	FYM	Mea
		r	۲	٣	<b>n</b> ( <b>B</b> )		'n	۲	٣	n (B)
Control	1.17	۱.١٤	۱.١٤	1.10	1.177	۱.۱۳	۱.١٤	۱.١٤	١.١٦	1.127
Phos.	1.10	1.17	۱.۱٦	۱.۱٦	1.104	۱.۱٦	1.17	۱.۱۸	1.19	1.170
M.A.	۱.۱٦	۱.۱۸	۱.۱۸	1.19	1.17A	۱.۱۷	1.19	۱.۲۰	1.71	1.19٣
ADY	1.7.	1.77	1.72	1.72	1.778	1.77	1.70	١.٢٦	1.77	1.70.
Phos.+M.A.	١.٢٦	۱.۲۸	۱.۲۸	1.79	1.774	١.٢٧	1.79	۱.۳۰	1.77	1.790
Phos.+ADY	١.٢٦	1.79	۱.۲۸	۱.۳۰	۱.۲۸۳	۱.۲۸	۱.۳۰	۱.۳۱	۱.۳۳	1.7.0
Mean (A)	1.197	1.717	1.717	1.777		1.7.0	1.77٣	1.777	1.727	
L.S.D.at o%	A:	v B	B: •.• • •	AB	: N.S	A: )	[ ۲	B: •.•۲۱	AE	8: N.S
			S	econd s	eason					
Control	1.1٣	۱.١٤	1.10	1.10	1.127	۱.١٤	1.10	۱.۱۷	۱.۱۸	۱.۱٦٠
Phos.	۱.۱٦	1.17	۱.۱۷	۱.۱۸	۱.۱٦٨	۱.۱۷	۱.۱۸	1.19	۱.۲۱	1.144
<b>M.A.</b>	۱.۱٦	۱.۱۸	1.19	1.71	1.71.	۱.۱۸	1.7.	۱.۲۱	1.77	1.7.٣
ADY	۱.۲۱	۱.۲٤	1.70	1.77	1.728	1.77	1.70	۱.۲۸	1.79	1.77.
Phos.+M.A.	١.٢٦	۱.۲۸	۱.۳۰	۱.۳۱	1.744	۱.۲۸	۱.۳۰	۱.۳۱	1.77	1.7.0
Phos.+ADY	1.77	1.79	۱.۳۱	1.77	1.798	۱.۲۸	۱.۳۱	1.77	۱.۳٤	1.717
Mean (A)	1.19٨	1.710	1.778	1.707		1.717	1.777	1.727	1.777	

\_۳۸۷\_

L.S.D.at o%	A: ••• ۲۸	B: ••• • ٨	AB: N.S	A: ١٣	B: •.• <b>\</b> °	AB: N.S

- Phos. : Phosphorein
- M.A.: Minia Azotein
- ADY : Active Dry Yeast

The three photosynthetic pigments contents and the three elements (NPK) percentages were significantly increased due to five used treatments of biofertilizers. The best treatment was phos. + ADY followed by the treatment od phos. + M.A. These findings are in agreement with those of Helmy  $(\uparrow \cdot \cdot \land)$  on *Nigella sativa* and Abdou and Ashour  $(\uparrow \cdot \uparrow \uparrow)$  on jojoba plants.

The interaction between main and sub-plot treatments was significant for chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids in both cuts during both seasons, where the highest values were obtained due to  $FYM_{r}$  with phos. + ADY or phos. + M.A. and  $FYM_{r}$  in combination with phos. + ADY.

In general, the values of all studied parameters (except, plant height) in the second cut were higher than those of the first cut in both seasons. That variation may be due to removing the terminal buds or the direct and indirect effects of environmental conditions, especially temperature and light period on the physiological and biochemical processes in the plants, as well as, more decomposition of FYM during the time of second cut consequently increased growth and oil %.

#### REFERENCES

- Abdou, M.A.H.; Aly, M.K.; Zaki, K.A.; Sadek, A.A. and El-Husseiny, R. (<sup>Υ</sup>··<sup>۹</sup>): Response of borage plants to some organic and biofertilization treatments. The <sup>oth</sup> Inter. Sustain, Agric. and Develop. Fac. Agric., Fayoum Univ., <sup>Υ</sup> – <sup>Υ</sup><sup>۳</sup> December.
- Abdou, M.A.H.; Abdalla, M.Y.; Hegazy, A. and Marzok, Z. (ヾ・ヽヽ): Physiological studies on basil plant. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., ヾ(ヽヽ): ヽ٤´´ヽ.
- Abdou, M.A.H. and Ashour, R.M. (<sup>Υ</sup> <sup>Υ</sup>): Physiological studies on jojoba plants. Minia Inter. Conf. for Agric. and Irrigation in the Nile Basin Countries, <sup>Υ</sup><sup>τh</sup> <sup>Υ</sup><sup>qth</sup> March, <sup>Υ</sup> <sup>Υ</sup><sup>γ</sup>, El Minia, Egypt.
- Abdou, M.A.H.; Attia, F.A.; Ahmed, E.T.; El Sayed, A.A. and Abd El – Naeem, L.M. (<sup>\*</sup>, <sup>1</sup>): Physiological studies on

mint plants. Second International Conference of Physiological, Microbiological and Ecological Plant Sciences.  $\Upsilon q^{\text{th}} - \Upsilon \cdot {}^{\text{th}}$  April,  $\Upsilon \cdot \Upsilon \Upsilon$ , Minia, Egypt. Plant & Applied Biotechnology.

- Abou El Ela, El. S. (<sup>\*</sup> · · <sup>±</sup>) : Effect of some bio-fertilizers on salvia plants. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Mansoura Univ., Egypt.
- Black, C.A.; Evans, D.D.; Evsminger, L.E.; White, J.L. and Clark, F.E. (١٩٦٥): Methods of Soils Analysis. Amercian Society of Agronomy. Inc. Publ. Madison, Wisconsin, USA, P., 1177-1176.
- **British Pharmacopoeia** (**\4\7\7**): Determination of Volatile Oil in Drugs. The Pharmaceutical Press, London.
- **Chapman, H.D. and Pratt, P.F.** (1۹۷°) : Methods of Analysis for Soil, Plant and Water. Calif. Univ. Division of Agric. Sci., 197 – 199.
- Chrpova, D.; Kourimska, L.; Gordon, M.H.; Hermanova, V.; Roubickova, I. and Panek, J.  $(\checkmark \cdot ) \cdot$ ) : Antioxidant activity of selected phenols and herbs used in diets for medicinal conditions. Czech Journal of Food Sciences.  $\checkmark :$  $\xi, \forall 1 \lor - \forall \uparrow \circ$ .
- Cottenie, A.; Verloo, M.; Velghe, M. and Camerlynck, R. (۱۹۸۲): Chemical Analysis of Plant and Soil. Laboratory of Analytical and Ayro Chemistry. State Univ., Ghent, Belgium.
- **Daisley, G.** (1947): The illustrated book of herbs. American Nature Press, New York, P. 75.
- El Hindi, K.M. and El Boraie, E.A.  $(\uparrow \cdot \cdot \circ)$ : Effect of some biofertilizers on the growth, essential oil and chemical composition of marjoram plants. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.,  $\neg \cdot (1\uparrow)$ :  $\forall \neg 1\uparrow \forall \neg \uparrow \land$ .
- El Leithy, A.S.; Hussein, M.M.M.; El Ghadban, E.A.E. and Abd El–Latif, E.S.M. (\*\*\*\*): Effect of chemical, organic fertilizers and active dry yeast on *Salvia officinalis*, L. plants. I- Effect on growth and yield. J. Product. & Dev., \*\* (\*): \*\*\*\* - \*\*\*.

- El Sheekh, H.M. (۱۹۹۷) : Effect of bio and mineral phosphate fertilizers on growth, yield, quality and storability of onion. Egypt, J. Appl. Sci., ۱۲ (۱۲) : ۲۱۳ – ۲۳۱.
- Helmy, T.A. (\*..^): Effect of some organic and biofertilization treatments on black cumin plants. M. Sci. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Minia Univ.
- **Ismail, S.I.I.** ( $(\cdot, \cdot)$ ): Anatomical and physiological studies on *Nigella sativa*, L. plant. Ph.D. Thesis. Fac. Agric. Mansoura Univ., Egypt.
- Khalil, M.Y.; Kandil, M.A. and Swaefy, H.M.  $(\uparrow \cdot \cdot \land)$ : Effect of three different compost levels on fennel and salvia growth characters and their essential oils. Research J. Agric. and Biol. Sci.,  $\xi(\uparrow)$ :  $\neg \xi \neg \uparrow$ .
- Lawless, S.L. (1997): The Encyclopedia of essential oils. Elements Book. Ltd. Long mead, Shoftesbury. Dorest. Great Britain.
- Lethan, D.S. (1979) : Cytokinins and their relation to other phytohormones. Bio. Sci., 19,  $7 \cdot 9 717$ .
- Mishra, A.C. and Negi, K.S.  $(\uparrow \cdot \cdot \uparrow)$ : Effect of organic manures and chemical fertilizers on the performance of a newly introduced aromatic crop clarysage (*Salvia sclarea*, L.) in the Uttarakhand. Natural Product Radiance,  $\land$   $(\uparrow)$  :  $\uparrow \circ \land \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ .
- **Moran, R.**  $(14 \wedge 7)$ : Formula determination of chlorophyllous pigments extracted with N N dimethyl Formamide. Plant Physiol., 14: 1771 1741.
- **MSTAT-C** (1947) : A microcomputer program for the design management and analysis of Agronomic Research Experiments. Version ( $\xi$ .,), Michigan State Univ., U.S.A.
- Salman, A.S.  $(\checkmark \cdot \cdot \checkmark)$ : Effect of biofertilization on Ocimum basilicum, L. plant. Egypt J. Agric. Res.,  $\lor \circlearrowright (\curlyvee)$ :  $\circ \land \lor \lor \cdot \urcorner$ .
- Shala, A.Y.E. (ヾ・・ヾ): Physiological studies on sage plant. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Kafr El – Sheikh Univ.
- Skoog, F.; and Miller, C. (۱۹۵۷): Biological action of growth substances. Cambridge Univ. Press, Camb., p. ۲۰۰.

- Sukhmal, C.; Anwar, M. and Patra, D.D.  $(\checkmark \cdots \urcorner)$ : Influence of long-term application of organic and inorganic fertilizer to build up soil fertility and nutrient uptake in mint mustard cropping sequence. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis,  $\curlyvee \lor \cdots \lor$ .
- **Turgut, K.; Onus, A.N. and Mathe, A.** (<sup>Υ</sup>··<sup>۹</sup>) : Effect of harvesting date on the performance of *Salvia officinalis*. Proceedings of the First International Medicinal and Aromatic Plants Conference on Culinary Herbs, Antalya, Turkey, <sup>Υ</sup><sup>9</sup> April <sup>٤</sup> May <sup>Υ</sup>··<sup>γ</sup> (Acta Horticulture. <sup>Υ</sup>··<sup>9</sup> <sup>Λ</sup><sup>Υ</sup><sup>7</sup>, <sup>٤</sup><sup>ε</sup><sup>γ</sup> pp.).
- Wilde, S.A.; Covey, R.P.; Lyer, J.C. and Voigt, G.K. (۱۹۸۵) : Soil and Plant Analysis for Tree Culture. Oxford IBH. Publishing Co. New Delhi, India.

# دراسات فسيولوجيح على نبات المريميح

محمود عبد الهادى حسن عبده \* - محمد يونس على عبد الله \*\* أحمد عبد المنعم السيد هلالى \*\* - شيماء عزت أبو المكارم مسعد \* \* قسم البساتين - كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنيا \*\*قسم الخضر ونباتات الزينة - كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنصورة

- أجريت هذه التجربة الحقلية خلال موسمى ٢٠٠٩ / ٢٠١٠ و ٢٠١٠ / ٢٠١١ لدراسة تأثيرات السماد الحيوانى (صفر و ١٥ و ٢٥ و ٣٥ م<sup>٣</sup> / فدان) ومعاملات التسميد الحيوى (الفوسفورين والمنيا أزوتين والخميرة النشطة والفوسفورين + المنيا أزوتين والخميرة النشطة النقاعل بينهما على صفات النمو الخضري والزيت الطيار والمحتوى الكيميائى لنباتات المريمية .
- أظهرت النتائج أن النباتات المعاملة بالسماد الحيوانى زادت معنوياً فى ارتفاع النبات (سم) وعدد الفروع / للنبات / للحشة والعشب الطازج والجاف للنبات (جم / نبات) وللفدان (طن / فدان) والنسبة المئوية للزيت الطيار ومحصول الزيت الطيار للنبات وللفدان (مليليتر / نبات ولتر / فدان) ومحتوى الأوراق من كلوروفيل أ

وكلوروفيل ب والكاروتينويدات بالإضافة إلى النسب المئوية للنتروجين والفوسفور والبوتاسيوم فى الحشتين خلال موسمى النمو . كان التفوق فى كل الخصائص السابق ذكرها للنباتات النامية فى التربة المسمدة بمعدل ٣٥ م<sup>٣</sup> / فدان من السماد الحيوانى مقارنة بمعاملة الكنترول .

- اتضح أيضاً أن كل معاملات التسميد الحيوى زادت معنوباً كل صفات النمو الخضرى والزيت والتركيب الكيماوى (كل الصفات المدروسة) وكانت الأكثر فاعلية معاملة الفوسفورين + الخميرة النشطة .
- كان تأثير التفاعل معنوياً بين معاملات التسميد العضوى والتسميد الحيوى فى معظم الحالات لكل الصفات السابقة وقد تبين أن أحسن معاملة تفاعل هى الناتجة من نباتات مسمدة بالسماد الحيوانى (٣٥ م<sup>٣</sup> / فدان) مع خليط من الفوسفورين والخميرة النشطة وهو ما توصى بة الدراسة لتسميد المريمية .